

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/746,207	12/22/2000	Billy G. Moon	062891.0518	1316
7590 12/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Barton E. Showalter, Esq.			BEAMER, TEMICA M	
Baker Botts L.L.P. 2001 Ross Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75			2681	
·			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/746,207	MOON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,		2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Temica M. Beamer					
Period for Reply	appears on are cover enecession and					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frostute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2004.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-18,20-33 and 35-42</u> is/are reference of the company of the application is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application is/are objected to.	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	· ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica priority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2681

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/24/2004 with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9-16, 18, 20-23, 25-31, 33, 35 and 37-42 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1, 14, 30 and 42, applicant argues that Toh fails to disclose using a different wireless communication protocol than a wireless communication link of one or more of the other communication paths.

The examiner, however, disagrees. The examiner previously cited col. 18, lines 31-47which reads on the above limitation. This is evidenced by the fact that mobile-to-mobile communications via an ad-hoc protocol can be used in addition to a "mobile-to-BS" protocol. In the latter embodiment, such a protocol is used when a wireless device desires communication with a wireless local area network device. Therefore, Toh does disclose wherein different wireless protocols are used.

Regarding claims 2, 15 and 31, applicant argues that Toh fails to disclose measuring the link quality of the link immediately before routing the communication. The examiner, however, contends that such a limitation is inherent in Toh as evidenced by the fact that such information is known right before the communication is sent because the link quality will change as time passes. The examiner should have further cited col. 10, line 21-col. 11, line 10 which further reads on the limitations of claims 2, 15 and 31.

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 4, 18 and 33, applicant argues that Toh fails to disclose measuring the link quality using a control channel. The examiner, however, disagrees. Toh discloses that the link quality is measured using a control channel as evidenced by the fact that such information (measured link quality) is transmitted in a BQ "control packet" (col. 8, line 59-col. 9, line 13). Hence, the medium the control packet is transmitted is a control channel.

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 14 - 16, filed 1/23/2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 3, 17 and 32 and claims 8, 24 and 36, under 102 (Toh) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the combination of Toh and Arslan et al (Arslan), U.S. Patent No. 6,574,235 for claims 3, 17 and 32. For claims 8, 24 and 36, the combination of Toh and Lee et al (Lee), U.S. Patent No. 6,329,902 is used. See the rejection as set forth below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2681

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9-16, 18, 20-23, 25-31, 33, 35 and 37-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Toh, U.S. Patent No. 5,987,011.

Regarding claims 1, 14, 30 and 42, Toh discloses routing communications at a mobile station, comprising: at a mobile station, determining one or more routing metrics associated with each of a plurality of communication paths coupling the mobile station and a destination device (col. 6, lines 48-60, col. 7, lines 42-50, col. 17, line 58-col. 18, line 5), wherein at least one of the routing metrics comprises the link quality of at least one wireless communication link included in each of the communication paths (col. 3, lines 39-56); at the mobile station, receiving routing information from one or more routers coupling the mobile station and the destination device (col. 3, line 57-col. 4, line 10); and routing a communication to the destination device based on the determined routing metrics and the received routing information (col. 9, line 14-col. 10, line 20).

Regarding claims 2, 15 and 31, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30 wherein determining the link quality of the wireless communication link comprises measuring the link quality of the wireless communication links immediately before routing the communication (col. 9, lines 14-21).

Regarding claims 4, 18 and 33, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30, wherein determining the link quality of the wireless communication link comprises measuring the link quality using a control channel established with a device with which the wireless communication link is to be established (col. 7, lines 41-50).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 5, 19 and 34, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30, wherein at least two of the communication paths include wireless communication links using different wireless communication protocols (col. 18, lines 31-47).

Regarding claims 6, 20 and 35, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 5, 19 and 34, wherein at least one of the wireless communication protocols is not a cellular telephone communication protocol (col. 6, lines 7-11).

Regarding claim 7, Toh discloses the invention of Claim 1, wherein routing the communication comprises transmitting the communication from the mobile station using inherently a wireless communication interface of the mobile station (col. 9, line 14-col. 10, line 20).

Regarding claims 9, 25 and 37, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30, wherein the communication comprises a packet-based communication (col. 7, lines 51-55).

Regarding claims 10, 26 and 38, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30, wherein the communication comprises a circuit-switched communication (col. 18, lines 31-47).

Regarding claims 11, 27 and 39, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30, wherein the routing information received at the mobile station comprises network topology information (col. 2, lines 49-53).

Regarding claims 12, 28 and 40, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30, wherein the routing information received at the mobile station comprises a routing table or a portion of a routing table (col. 13, lines 2-8).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 13, 29 and 41, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30, further comprising communicating routing information from the mobile station to one or more of the routers coupling the mobile station and the destination device to enable the routers to transmit communications from the destination device to the mobile station (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 10).

Regarding claim 16, Toh discloses the invention of Claim 14, wherein the router receives link quality information from another component of the mobile station (col. 17, line 58-col. 18, line 5).

Regarding claim 21, Toh discloses the invention of Claim 14, wherein the router is further operable to determine at least a portion of one or more communication paths available between the mobile station and the destination device by receiving information about the availability of one or more communication links from one or more routers external to the mobile station (col. 18, lines 6-30).

Regarding claim 22, Toh discloses the invention of Claim 21, wherein the router is further operable to determine at least a portion of one or more communication paths available between the mobile station and the destination device by receiving information about the availability of one or more communication links from one or more routers external to the mobile station (col. 8, lines 6-30).

Regarding claim 23, Toh discloses the invention of Claim 14, wherein routing the communication comprises transmitting the communication to one of the wireless communication interfaces (col. 9, line 14-col. 10, line 20).

Art Unit: 2681

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 17 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toh in view of Arslan.

Regarding claims 3, 17 and 32, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30 as described above. Toh, however, fails to disclose wherein determining the link quality of the wireless communication link comprises measuring the bit error rate of the wireless communication link.

In a similar field of endeavor, Arslan discloses methods of receiving co-channel signals by channel separation and successive cancellation and related receivers.

Arslan further discloses determining the accuracy of sequenced information by using BER (col. 11, lines 49-54).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Toh with the teachings of Arslan for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy of transmitted/received information.

Art Unit: 2681

7. Claims 8, 24 and 36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toh in view of Lee.

Regarding claims 8, 24 and 36, Toh discloses the invention of Claims 1, 14 and 30 as described above. Toh, however, fails to disclose wherein at least one of metrics comprises the power requirements of at least one wireless communication link included in each of the communication paths.

In a similar field of endeavor, Lee discloses wide area two-way paging using a mesh network with paging receivers.

Lee further discloses power requirements of at least one wireless communication link included in communication paths (col. 5, lines 45-58).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Toh with the teachings of Lee for the purpose of ensuring that enough power is available to complete a communication.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Temica M. Beamer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2681

December 23, 2004